

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/766,111	SCHULTZE, DENNIS L.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Russell Kallis	1638	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Russell Kallis.

(3) _____.

 (2) Kenyon Schuett.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 June 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

 112 1st paragraph written description with respect to backcross method. Applicant agreed to cancel claims 23-29 as set forth in the examiner's amendment.

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An examiner's amendment was proposed to bring claims into condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)